

One-stage Transalveolar versus Lateral Maxillary Sinus Augmentation in Severely Resorbed Sites using Calcium Phosphosilicate Putty: A Proof-Of-Concept Study

Udatta Kher¹ Siddharth Shanbhag^{1, 2}

¹ Private Practice, Mumbai, India; ² Dept. of Periodontology, Faculty of Odontology, Malmö University, Sweden

Topic: Implant therapy outcomes, surgical aspects

Background and Aim

Benefits of simultaneous implant placement with lateral or transalveolar maxillary sinus floor augmentation (SFA) include reduced morbidity and shorter healing times. The decision to use a lateral or relatively lessinvasive transalveolar approach is based on the residual ridge height (RRH > or < 5 mm) and likelihood of achieving adequate primary implant stability, which can ultimately predict implant success. Bioactive glasses such as calcium phosphosilicate (CPS) are clinically wellstudied alloplastic bone-substitutes for SFA. A technique for 1-stage transalveolar SFA and implant placement using an injectable CPS-putty in moderately resorbed sites (mean RRH 7 mm) has previously been reported.

The aim of the present study was to compare the clinical and radiographic outcomes of transalveolar and lateral SFA using an injectable CPS-putty and simultaneous implant placement in severely resorbed sites (RRH <5 mm).

Methods

20 healthy non-smokers (11 females) aged 34–65 years with >1 missing posterior maxillary teeth and RRH <5 mm were included. Two groups of patients (10 per group) underwent SFA with CPS-putty (NovaBone **Dental Putty**®) either via a lateral or transalveolar approach along with simultaneous implant placement. In the transalveolar approach, osteotomies were first prepared within 1mm of the sinus floor, which was then fractured using an osteotome and 0.5cc of CPS-putty was injected via a cartridge delivery system resulting in hydrostatic membrane elevation. Totally, 28 implants were placed submerged; 15 in the lateral and 13 in the transalveolar group, all of 10.5 mm length. Average time to loading was 4 months. Radiographs taken at surgery and after \geq 4 months calibrated using implant length/diameter and compared were independently by 2 examiners. Quantitative and qualitative graft changes were evaluated using linear measurements and the Sinus Graft Remodeling Index (SGRI), respectively. Means +/- SD and comparative statistics were analysed (p<0.05 significance).

Surgical Technique



Transalveolar approach: osteotomies were first prepared within 1mm of the sinus floor, which was then fractured using an osteotome and 0.5cc of CPS-putty was injected via a cartridge delivery system resulting in hydrostatic membrane elevation.



the sinus floor, prior to osteotomy preparation and implant placement. Intraoperative membrane perforation (Valsalva test) was assessed.

Results

Mean RRH was 4.35 ± 0.43 mm and 4.30 ± 1.30 mm in the lateral and transalveolar groups, respectively (p>0.05). Adequate primary stability (>35 N-cm) was achieved for all implants. No incidences of membrane perforation and minimal postoperative pain were observed in both groups; although 'swelling' was reported more frequently after lateral SFA. In one patient in each group, one implant failed to osseointegrate. All other implants were loaded without biological or technical complications. After a mean follow up of 7.8 + 3.3 months significant mean radiographic bone gains of 9.19 + 2.16 mm and 9.86 + 3.08 mm were observed within the lateral and transalveolar groups, respectively; with no significant differences between the groups. Radiographic appearance changed from 'original sinus floor

lamina dura still recognizable' (SGRI score 1) to a 'new sinus floor outline' (SGRI score 3) in ~80% of cases in both groups; with ~1-2 mm of bone present apical to the implants in all cases.

Conclusion

This controlled proof-of-concept study confirms the clinical feasibility and effectiveness of using a minimally-invasive transalveolar approach with CPS-putty for 1-

stage SFA and implant placement in alveolar sites with 3–5 mm of residual bone, which would otherwise be indicated for a more invasive lateral SFA approach.

References: TO BE INSERTED

- 1. Masaki C, Schneider GB, Zaharias R, et al. Effects of implant surface microtopography on osteoblast gene expression. Clin Oral Implants Res 2005; 16: 650-656.
- 2. Wall I, Donos N, Carlqvist K, et al. Modified titanium surfaces promote accelerated osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stromal cells in vitro. Bone 2009; 45: 17-26.
- 3. Olivares-Navarrete R, Hyzy S, Wieland M, et al. The roles of Wnt signaling modulators Dkk1 and Dkk2 and cell maturation state in osteogenesis on microstructured titanium surfaces. Biomaterials 2010; 31: 2015-2024.
- 4. Mamalis A, Silvestros S. Modified titanium surfaces alter osteogenic differentiation: a comparative microarray-based analysis of human mesenchymal cell response to commercial titanium surfaces. J Oral Implantol 2013; 39: 591-601.



